Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43392 SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center RN101557312

Docket No. 2012-0234-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Big D Travel Center, 300 North Loop 12, Irving, Dallas County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: June 15, 2012

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$7,693

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,538 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$205 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$5,950

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$170 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average

Site/RN - Average by Default

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43392 SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center RN101557312

Docket No. 2012-0234-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: October 13, 2010 and January 9, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): January 9, 2012

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 2. Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, Respondent did not conduct the monthly piping interstitial monitoring and annual line leak detector test [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)].
- 3. Failed to maintain the UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel;
- b. Within 30 days, implement a release detection method for all USTs, the piping associated with the UST system, and conduct the annual line leak detector test at the Facility; and
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43392 SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center RN101557312 Docket No. 2012-0234-PST-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Brianna Carlson, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 7, MC R-15, (956) 430-6021; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Karim Mahmoudizad, President, SAMROD CORPORATION, 300 North

Loop 12, Irving, Texas 75061 **Respondent's Attorney:** N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Assigned 17-Jan-2012 Screening 23-Jan-2012 PCW 26-Jan-2012 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101557312 Major/Minor Source Major Facility/Site Region 4-Dallas/Fort Worth CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 2 Enf./Case ID No. 43392 Order Type 1660 Docket No. 2012-0234-PST-E Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Brianna Carlson Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$7,500 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & \$0 Notes No adjustment for compliance history. 0.0% Enhancement \$0 Subtotal 4 Culpability No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit**

Total EB Amounts

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$284

Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance

associated with violation no. 1.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

2.6%

20.0%

\$7,500

\$193

\$7,693

\$7,693

-\$1,538

\$6,155

Final Subtotal

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction

Adjustment

Adjustment

PCW

Respondent SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center

Case ID No. 43392

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101557312

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Brianna Carlson

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Component	ry <i>Site</i> Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	<u>Y</u>	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	n	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non- adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Audics	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		ease Enter Yes or No	1
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
eat Violator (Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
mpliance Histo	rry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
mpliance Histo	iry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		
	Total Adjustment Percentage (·

Screening Date		PCW
Responαenτ Case ID No.		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		Terr Revision October 30, 2000
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		-
Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2), and Tex. Water Co 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)	de §
Violation Description	Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the piping associat the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the monthly piper interstitial monitoring and annual line leak detector test.	each ted with
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Release OR Actual	Major Moderate Minor	
Potential	X Percent 50%	
		nanonomo.
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
	Percent 0%	on the state of th
	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed to pollutants which would exceed to pollutants which would exceed the viola of the viola to the viola to the viola with the viola to the viola to the viola with the viola to the viola to the viola with the viola to the viola to the violation of the violation to the violation of violation of the violation of the violation of violatio	
Notes that are pr	otective of number health of environmental receptors as a result of the viola	HOTE:
		1000 24
	Adjustment	\$5,000
		\$5,000
Violation Events		
Number of \	/iolation Events 1 14 Number of violation da	ays .
	[minusconnicion states of	•
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	Penalty \$5,000
One monthly	event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the J	anuary
	9, 2012 record review to the January 23, 2012 screening date.	
	•	+0
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
	Extraordinary Extraordinary	
The state of the s	Ordinary	
Name of the state	N/A x (mark with x)	
sandarini.	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes this violation.	***************************************
The state of the s	Violation S	ubtotal \$5,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit 1	est
~~USUNC DELICITED/101		
Estimate	ed EB Amount \$237 Violation Final Penalt	ty Total \$5,129
regenerate	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$5,129
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

		CONOMIC PORATION dba Big					
그 하는 이렇게 되어 하는 아이를 하는 것 같아. 하는 사람들은 아이를 하는 것이 되었다면 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하		PORATION GDA BIL	y D Haver Cell	Lei			
Case ID No.							
eg. Ent. Reference No.							Years of
	Petroleum Sto	rage lank				Percent Interest	
Violation No.	1			10373333333			Depreciation
						5.0	15
		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Dalassad Coota							
Delayed Costs Equipment	[T	***********	1 0.00	l	T \$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	 			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land	*************			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500 Estimated co	st to monitor the		1,84	\$138 es. The Date Reg	n/a	\$138
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	st to monitor the the	UST system for Final Date is th	1.84 releas e estin	es. The Date Requarted date of comp	n/a uired is the investiga pliance.	\$138 ation date and
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated co	st to monitor the the	UST system for Final Date is th	1.84 releas ie estim	es. The Date Req nated date of comp ng item (except	n/a uired is the investiga pliance. for one-time avoid	\$138 ation date and ded costs)
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated co	st to monitor the the	UST system for Final Date is th	releas ne estim enterin	es. The Date Requated date of comparts of the second secon	n/a uired is the investiga pliance, for one-time avoid \$0	\$138 ation date and ded costs) \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	st to monitor the the	UST system for Final Date is th	1.84 releas ne estim enterii 0.00 0.00	es. The Date Requated date of comp ing item (except \$0 \$0	n/a uired is the investiga pliance, for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$138 ation date and ded costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co	st to monitor the the	UST system for Final Date is th	1.84 releas ne estim enterin 0.00 0.00	es. The Date Requated date of compositem (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a uired is the investiga offance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$138 ation date and ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co	st to monitor the the	UST system for Final Date is th	1.84 releas releas releas releas 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	es. The Date Requated date of comparison (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a uired is the investigation of the investigation	\$138 ation date and ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel respection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co	st to monitor the the	UST system for Final Date is th costs before	1,84 releas e estim 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	es. The Date Requated date of compared tem (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a uired is the investigation of the investigation	\$138 ation date and ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co	st to monitor the the	UST system for Final Date is the costs before 9-Jan-2012	1.84 releas releas e estim 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.16	es. The Date Requated date of compared by the second secon	n/a uired is the investigation of the investigation	\$138 ation date and ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL \$118 \$50	st to monitor the the IZE [1] avoided I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	UST system for Final Date is the costs before 9-Jan-2012 9-Jan-2012	1.84 releas	es. The Date Requated date of compared by the second secon	n/a uired is the investigation of the investigation	\$138 ation date and led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$137 \$56
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANNUAL \$118 \$50 Estimated before the	st to monitor the the IZE [1] avoided 1 13-Oct-2009 1 13-Sep-2010 avoided cost to convestigation date	UST system for Final Date is the costs before 9-Jan-2012 9-Jan-2012 nduct the Final Lear the piping (\$	1.84 release estimate entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.16 2.24 eak delate is 50). D	es. The Date Requated date of compared tem (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$19 \$6 tector test (\$118), the record review	n/a uired is the investigation of the investigatio	\$138 ation date and ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$137 \$56 If is one year oided cost to

Screening Date		PCW
	-	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		W Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin, Code § 334.10(b)	
141-4-1- B	Failed to maintain the UST records and make them immediately available for	
Violation Description	inspection upon request by agency personnel.	
	Base Pena	alty \$10,000
	Dase Felle	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
•	Harm	
Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual		
Potential	Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
1 dismedicin	Percent 25%	
HIS CALLED		
Matrix	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes		
<u> </u>		
	Adjustment \$7,	500
uning and a second a second and		\$2,500
		\$2,500
Violation Events		
		B80714-014
Number of '	Violation Events 1 Number of violation days	
* .		
***************************************	daily	
99	weekly Silifing 1992	
mark only one	monthly Violation Base Pena	alty \$2,500
with an x	guarterly Violation Base Pena semiannual	32,500
	annual	
	single event	
***	[*************************************	
W	One single event is recommended.	
	t Estimatory	40
Good Faith Efforts to Com		\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
egine.	Ordinary	
	N/A X (mark with x)	
	Taylor and the second of the s	
With the second	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	this violation.	
	£2000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	Violation Subto	stal \$2,500
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Eati	ed EB Amount \$46 Violation Final Penalty To	stal \$2,564
Estimat	EU LD AMOUNT PRINTER PRINTER 10	\$2,304
***************************************	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limi	ts) \$2,564

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Case ID No. ea. Ent. Reference No.	43392 RN101557312	PORATION dba Big	g D Travel Cent	er	3333443 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
Media Violation No.	Petroleum Sto 2	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delaved Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	13-Oct-2010	16-Aug-2012	1.84	\$46	n/a	\$46
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	st to maintain US1				stigation date and th	e Final Date is
NOTES TO DELATED COSTS			the estima	المرقب فديدية	to of compliance		
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enteri	ng item (except	for one-time avoid	******
Disposal	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enteri 0.00	ng item (except \$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enteri 0.00 0.00	ng item (except \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enteri 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Custome	/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600823314	SAMROD CORPORATION	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated	d Entity:	RN101557312	Big D Travel Center	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Numbe	er(s):	PETROLEUM S' REGISTRATION		REGISTRATION	74632
Location:		300 N LOOP 12, I	RVING, TX, 75061		
TCEQ Re	gion:	REGION 04 - DF\	W METROPLEX		
Date Con	npliance History Prepared:	January 23, 2012			
Agency D	ecision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			
Complian	ce Period:	January 23, 2007	to January 23, 2012		
TCEQ Sta	aff Member to Contact for Additional Info		nis Compliance History one: (956) 430-6021		
2. Has the 3. If YES ,	e site been in existence and/or operation ere been a (known) change in ownership who is the current owner/operator?	operator of the site	during the compliance period?	YES NO	
4. If YES	S, who was/were the prior owner(s)/opera	ator(s)?	N/A		
5. If YE \$	S, when did the change(s) in owner or op	erator occur?	N/A		
6. Ratin	g Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator:	NO			
A.	nents (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgm N/A	ents, and consent de	ecrees of the State of Texas and	the federal government.	
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A	Texas and the feder	al government.		
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A				
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (0 1 01/09/2012 (92060		lo.)		
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CC N/A	CEDS Inv. Track. No	.)		
F.	Environmental audits. N/A				
G.	Type of environmental management sy N/A	stems (EMSs).			
H.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessmen	ent dates.			
	N/A	luation reserve			
1.	Participation in a voluntary pollution red	uction program.			
J.	N/A Early compliance.				
	N/A				
Sites Out	side of Texas				
	N/A				

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
SAMROD CORPORATION DBA	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
BIG D TRAVEL CENTER	§	
RN101557312	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-0234-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 300 North Loop 12 in Irving, Dallas County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about January 14, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seven Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Three Dollars (\$7,693) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in

Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Five Dollars (\$205) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Eight Dollars (\$1,538) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Five Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$5,950) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Seventy Dollars (\$170) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 13, 2010 and a record review conducted on January 9, 2012.

- 2. Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 13, 2010 and a record review conducted on January 9, 2012. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the monthly piping interstitial monitoring and annual line leak detector test.
- 3. Failed to maintain the UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 13, 2010 and a record review conducted on January 9, 2012.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center, Docket No. 2012-0234-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10;
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, implement a release detection method for all USTs, the piping associated with the UST system, and conduct the annual line leak detector test at the Facility, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50; and
 - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance

with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center DOCKET NO. 2012-0234-PST-E Page 5

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center DOCKET NO. 2012-0234-PST-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of

SAMROD CORPORATION dba Big D Travel Center

For the Commission	·
For the Executive Director	7/6/12 Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf	d the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to f of the entity indicated below my signature, and I therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in materially relying on such representation.
 A negative impact on compliance histor Greater scrutiny of any permit applicat Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney feed increased penalties in any future enforced to the Attorney Geen and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized 	ry; ions submitted; General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief es, or to a collection agency; cement actions; eneral's Office of any future enforcement actions
La Malm do	4-19-12
Signature	Date
<u>.</u>	1

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.